

Minutes of the Meeting of Brompton Town Council held in The Methodist Church, Brompton on Wednesday 16th July 2019.

PRESENT – Councillors Dr K Carveley, Mrs S Haynes, Mr M Langthorne, Mr B Martin (in the Chair), Mrs M Sheil Dods and Mr S O’Sullivan.

There were 38 members of the public present.

1. APOLOGIES AND DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

There were no apologies as all Councillors were present at the meeting.

No declarations of interest in any of the items on the agenda were made by Councillors.

2. MINUTES.

AGREED – That the Minutes of the Meeting held on the 18th June 2019, having been previously circulated, be taken as read and be confirmed and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

Agenda Order

The Chairman reported on the change to the normal sequence of procedures on the agenda due to the fact that residents had indicated that they wished to attend for two of the planning matters and therefore these would be considered immediately after the normal Local Crime Report. Also one of the applicants was in attendance to put his case.

With regard to one of the applications members of the Flood Prevention Group were also be in attendance and with regard to the proposed development off 22 dwellings off Danes Crest a representative of the Kabron Group was also in attendance at the meeting

Members of the Allotments Group were also in attendance to consider the way forward with the new Lease on the Fullicar Lane site.

The Chairman added that whilst everyone was welcome to stay for the whole meeting the handling the agenda in this way gave an opportunity for those who had only come for one particular item to leave the meeting early if they wished to.

3. LOCAL CRIME REPORT.

In the absence of the PCSO the Clerk reported that over the last the month following crimes and ASB have been reported –

Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB)	-	0 reports
Autocrime	-	1 report
Dwelling burglary/other	-	0 reports
Commercial burglary/other	-	0 reports
Criminal damage	-	2 reports
Theft (all including from shop)	-	1 report
Violence against the person	-	4 reports

Other crimes inc Drugs - 4 reports
Total inc other crimes - 12 reports

Other News

Northallerton Youth Space continues to succeed

As Northallerton Youth Space approaches its first birthday there is no doubting the success the scheme has achieved, bringing a safe and positive environment to the young people of our town. Further proof of this, if we needed it, is that we have seen a reduction in anti-social behaviour on Friday evenings of 49% since January this year. Great work by all involved and well done to our young people who have responded to the scheme in such a positive way.

4. PLANNING MATTERS.

(a) Decisions.

19/00016/MRC - Variation of conditions attached to Planning appeal APP/G2713/C/13/2198583 - to allow the siting of an additional static caravan including a change of use of agricultural land to use as a single additional residence at The Workshop, Stokesley Road – REFUSED.

19/00793/FUL - Alterations and extension to side of existing dwelling, Ashdene, Stokesley Road – REFUSED.

(b) Applications.

(i) Construction of a Detached Dwelling House and double garage

Land Adjacent 53 Water End Brompton North Yorkshire – Application No. 19/1254/FUL

Mr C Potter the applicant addressed the meeting using plans and a scale model.

He referred to the 10 objections that had been made to date on the HDC Planning Portal and reported on his meeting with Planning Authority as regards a pre-application and the flood risk assessment. Consequently a topographical survey had been carried out by independent auditors as regards the potential of the site to flood. Account needed to be taken of climate change until 2115 as the flood risk to the village could not be increased.

The site would be within Flood Zone 1 but constructed 600mm above the flood zone which complied with requirements although there was a slight conflict with the Local Plan since part of the site was within the Conservation Area. The District Council had therefore applied the Interim Policy Guidance and given a formal response that the site was mostly within the development limits of Brompton and that the dwelling could be built at the rear of the site.

As regards the biggest priority, ie flooding, there must not be any increase in the flow of water from the site into Brompton Beck therefore on-site tanks had to be provided for waster storage and these had to be controlled.

A neighbour reported on the effect any new development would have on her property and the fact that she was unable to get flood insurance adding that the proposed development would push flood water towards her property. However the applicant pointed out that he would be complying with EA advice and would be providing a holding tank as a first line of defence.

It was reported that the area was a catchment area for water from High Grange and further up Fullicar and although it was piped in the pipes could not cope with capacity with the fields becoming sodden. Water was also running into the back of the cottages at Hargreaves Terrace.

The representative of the Flood Prevention Group reported on the comments that the Group had made and to the in depth report that had been made about flooding by JBA who were the leaders in flood management. He added that local knowledge was paramount and that it was fundamental to have all the facts and figures as in heavy rainfall the water was like a waterfall coming off the fields. Previously a pond had held back some of the water but this had been filled in. The JBA report had indicated the use of large scale drainage pipes and water butts but it was estimated that 50 water butts would be required as they only held 200 litres of water.

The applicant reported that he was using drainage engineers and that the EA had accepted that the flood water could be dealt with by underground pipes which would be above Flood Zone3.

A resident remarked that getting the flood water out of Brompton was an issue that hadn't been dealt with as regards the situation at Bowe Bridge. Another resident who lived near the site confirmed that the site did flood. He added that in the past as Chairman of the Council together with the Clerk he had met a representative of the EA at Water End and was surprised as to how little knowledge the EA had about flooding in Brompton.

In answer to a question by the Chairman the applicant stated that the tank was larger than was required and that storage would be provided to ensure that there was no more run-off from the site than there was at present. There was also a proposal to construct a ditch along the back of the site.

A resident suggested that the tank should be at a level slightly below to help alleviate the flow. The applicant however said that the EA would not permit this.

A Councillor remarked that residents needed to be able to comment on the further information that the applicant had only submitted to the Planning Authority that day and another Councillor suggested that it might be appropriate to restore the pond. The applicant agreed that that could be done but that nothing could be done as regards the levels.

A resident suggested that HDC should be required to provide CIL monies to address the situation at Bowe Bridge.

A resident asked whether if the house was built further back on the site did that make another building line for the future and would it be the last house ever to be built on that side of the beck? In response the Chairman pointed out that everything was governed by the Planning Authority as regards those issues.

Councillor Mrs Sanderson thanked the applicant for explaining the proposals and confirmed that any decision would be made by the Planning Committee and that the matter was not delegated for an Officer decision although the Officers would advise the Planning Committee.

As regards the design of the building concerns were expressed about its size and location and a neighbour commented that it would be only 2 metres from her boundary hedge, adding that she had understood that there needed to be 22 metres between buildings. She also expressed concerns about the height of the proposed building.

Another resident commented that the materials proposed to be used were not in keeping with the rest of the village and that the building was not a traditional style. However another resident commented that the new house in the adjoining field was not in keeping with the village either and was not traditional for a village such as Brompton.

The applicant reported that the building was indeed traditional as until the 1950's such agricultural style buildings did exist before they were replaced and had been permanent features from 1900 to 1950 when such buildings fell into disrepair. Hence the reason the design had been chosen in this case.

A resident commented that the frontage of the building containing pantiles was nothing like anything in the village at Water End and would therefore look very different to the rest of the village adding

that the size of the building equalled that of the six cottages at Hargreaves Terrace and then there were additions added to the proposed building.

Another resident said that a concrete clad building did not fit in with the village and had no harmony to the village when nearly everything else was constructed of brick.

As a final comment the applicant stressed that the proposal would not increase flooding nor the amount of water that ran off the field into Brompton Beck and was based on a traditional North Yorkshire barn

AGREED – That because of the additional information that the applicant had only just submitted to the Planning Authority HDC be asked for an extension so that further consideration of the planning application could be take at a future Town Council meeting.

(ii) Replacement conservatory and single storey extension to rear of dwelling house

35 Linen Way Brompton North Yorkshire DL6 2PU – Application 19/01257/19 – No objections.

(iii) Proposed development of 22 new homes, land adjacent to Danes Crest.

A representative of the developers attended the meeting. The Chairman pointed out that the planning application for the site had only just been submitted to the District Council that day and was not yet before the Council for consultation.

It was clarified that the two bungalows would remain in the affordable rent sector rather than becoming rent to buy properties and that all properties would be affordable housing and that that restriction could not be changed. It was explained that the properties could not be built and then sold off as the developers received a grant and if someone bought a property then the money would have to be reinvested by building another house in the Hambleton District. The intention was to target those people who could not get onto the housing ladder.

If for example someone rented a property for 3 years and moved the next person renting that property would also be given 5 years during which they would have the option to buy.

Councillor Sanderson explained that the site was in the Local plan as a per-allocated site designated for housing. Consultation on the next Local Plan would be carried out shortly The developer also confirmed that they would be guided by Yorkshire Water as regards flooding as the properties would not be draining into the Beck and that aspect would be part of the planning application with the water being discharged into underground tanks via controlled storage. The flood risk assessment would also be dealt with at the planning stage.

The rent of the properties would be 80% of current market rents and the bungalows would only be allocated to over-55s.

It was pointed out that unfortunately only a few people had come forward at the Affordable Housing event held by HDC in the village as being in need of affordable housing in Brompton however the District Council would be following this up especially with the dozen families who had come forward.

Concerns were expressed about the exit from the development using the current Danes Crest exit onto Cockpit Hill and higher volumes of traffic using Cockpit Hill. The developer remarked that issues around additional cars etc would be matters that would be addressed during the planning process.

There was also concern about any increases in anti-social behaviour and the meeting was informed the developer was very experienced in dealing with such matters and had a designated

team in this respect to which 24/7 contact could be made. A resident commented that it was not only the affordable housing sector that people who committed anti-social behaviour came from.

Concerns were also expressed about the 2.5 storey houses however the Chairman referred to other areas on the village where there were such dwellings. The developer mentioned that the design had been taken from that of Linen Way. A property management regime would be established and it would be for the lifetime of the properties.

A resident informed the meeting what when there had been anti-social behaviour matters raised with regard to another property it had taken three years for the issue to be dealt with due to the need to get witness statements evidence and proceeding to Court.

- (iv) Proposed off-line storage system for storage of flood water on agricultural land. Formation of a 2,200sqm basin to a maximum depth of 1.5m. Modifications to existing weir across Ing Beck to divert spate flows into the proposed basin – Application No.- 19/01270/FUL – No objections.

A representative of the Flood Prevention Group outlined the proposal that would be on land at Kettlewell Farm. There were currently 9 leaky dams however the flood alleviation pond would hold 4,000 cubic metres of water thereby holding it back from the village. It was also reported that the grant monies that the Group had to be spent by 2021 or it would be lost. The project had been organised by the Yorkshire Dales Rivers Trust which had the expertise in such matters.

The Council praised the outstanding work that had been carried out by the Group and the commitment that had been given by its members in reaching their achievements to date.

5. ALLOTMENTS SITE.

Representatives of the Allotments Group attended the meeting for this item.

The Clerk outlined the current situation as regards the renewal of the current lease explaining that the landowner was unwilling to extend a new lease beyond another 10 years. The Clerk added that in 2010 the Council had for some months been in discussions with land owner with every indication being that the Lease would extend for 15 years however after the Council had already made financial commitments to the site the landowner changed her mind and would only sign a ten year lease which at that very late stage the Council had no alternative than to accept.

It was noted that the current allotment holders were very enthusiastic about the facility of the but were naturally concerned about what would happen in the future. Councillors indicated that the Council was very supportive of the Allotments Group. The Group's Secretary reported that for the first time there was a waiting list for allotments.

It was mentioned that there could be alternative sites in the village that could be utilised for allotments. Councillor Mrs Shiel Dods undertook to look at the provision in the North Northalelton Development plan as regards the provision of allotments.

AGREED – That a Working Group be established to look into the future provision of allotments in the Parish and that the Working Group consist of Councillors O'Sullivan (who is to act as the Chairman of the Group) together with Councillors Mrs Sheil Dods and Mr Langthorne and two members of the Allotments Group.

6. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES.

(i) Car Parking in Brompton.

The Clerk reported that on several occasions Councillors had asked for the Traffic Wardens to visit the village. Questions put to Scarborough Borough Council who administer the Civil Enforcement

Officers (Traffic Wardens) in this area had declined to respond as to how many occasions the Traffic Wardens had patrolled Brompton or how many Parking Penalty Tickets had been issued in Brompton etc.

However they had pointed out that their officers could only take action against people who park in or adjacent to:

- yellow lines (waiting restrictions)
- disabled bays
- bus stops
- taxi ranks
- dropped kerbs
- resident only parking areas (ResPark zones) without a valid permit
- on-street pay and display areas, without displaying a valid ticket or permit
- our car parks, without displaying a valid ticket or permit
- zigzag lines outside of schools
- doctors and other marked parking bays

There were very few yellow lines in Brompton and no taxi ranks or residents only parking areas and there had not been any reports of parking in disabled bays, bus or bus stops.

They did not deal with any of the following –

- dangerous parking
- parking that is causing a serious obstruction
- parked vehicles blocking the footway, verge or preventing passage

The Police needed to be contacted on 101 for any of these.

Therefore it seemed that even if the Traffic Wardens did bother to come to Brompton there was very little that they would be able to do going from the list above.

Councillors reported that some people had received parking penalty tickets for parking at the school. It was also reported that in the past double yellow lines were all along Cockpit Hill but a Councillor campaigned to get them taken away.

(ii) Works Schedule.

The Clerk reported that the works to the bridges were now complete.

Works to the seats continue.

The gate to the BRA field was being erected.

The hedge at the Recreation Ground was badly in need of cutting back.

Rabbit proof wire needed putting around the Cemetery boundaries.

HDC's pesticide quad bike appeared to have been around the village and there was a lot of dead overgrowth to be taken out of gutters, pavement edges etc.

The Chairman and Clerk recently inspected areas of weed growth around the village.

(ii) Disturbances on the Green.

The Clerk reported that information concerning disturbances on the Greens had been passed to the Police who had pointed out that for the future more information was needed such as –

What do they look like, are they teenagers or adults, male or female?

Are there are certain dates this happens on that would help with patrols as well as times? eg It is more Friday nights between 22:00-00:00?

Do they know if drugs or alcohol is involved? - If so how?

If there was more information the Police could definitely look at patrols and targeting the persons if they were known to the Police.

Councillors reported that the bus shelter seemed to be the current area for any disturbances by a group of 5 or 6 youths and it was also mentioned that stones were being thrown at people's windows. There were also concerns raised about The Priory at Fieldfare and it was AGREED – That the Priory Group local MP be contacted about these concerns.

(iii) North Northallerton Development.

The Clerk reported that Taylor Wimpey had confirmed that grass cutting on the new estate on the open spaces not owned by plot owners was to be transferred to a management company who would be taking on the maintenance these going forward.

They did not however intend to put either litter or dog waste bins around the new housing estate.

(v) New Dog Bins.

The Clerk reported that a comprehensive review of dog and litter bins had taken place around Brompton village in conjunction with the Dog Warden using information which revealed which bins are most use and where any dog fouling problems mainly occurred.

The dog fouling problems were still in the main around Church Green as the Council had been aware for some time.

It had been suggested that new dual litter/dog waste bins be provide on the west side of Church Green where there currently wasn't a bin; near to the Village Hall along which side of Cockpit Hill where there had been a tradition of dog fouling, and another one somewhere along Stokesley Road from Lead Lane crossroads towards the North Northallerton Development, a location where residents had asked for a bin to be placed in the past but where there were issues about collection of the waste, however these have been overcome.

The Clerk added that HDC had agreed that the new bins will all be emptied free of charge.

The Dog Warden had also spoken to a resident about the behaviour of his dogs and had warned the same resident about dog fouling issues.

AGREED – That new dual litter/dog waste bins be provided on the west side of Church Green; near to the Village Hall; and, another one somewhere along Stokesley Road from Lead Lane crossroads towards the North Northallerton Development

(vi) Tyres at BS Autos.

The Clerk reported that all tyres had been removed after the last Council meeting.

7. CHAIRMAN/VICE-CHAIRMAN'S ACTIONS.

The Chairman reported that he had contacted NY Highways County Councillor Hugill about an overhanging Conifer tree on Little Lane that was impairing passing vehicles.

8. REPORTS.

CCTV

The Clerk reported that as requested by the Council Councillors Mrs M Sheil Dods and Mrs S Haynes held a site meeting recently with himself about CCTV provision in the village. The issues identified were sent to the HDC Safety Team as follows -

"Simon Wilson suggested that the camera at the Recreation Ground rather than going at the Methodist Church end as we discussed should be at the other end so that it covers Northallerton Road as well which is probably a route for criminal traffic avoiding the main roads anyway.

The trees that obliterate part of the view at the Recreation Ground are not within the Conservation Area so we could cut those back a bit.

With this in mind can I assume that the cameras fully rotate as they need to cover Northallerton Road and the Recreation Ground.

Also we would like to see if we can afford a second rotating camera to position at the far end of Church Green that would look up towards the Church but also rotate to cover the crossroads in the centre of the village.

Do we need to inform residents in these locations that we are putting CCTV cameras up?

or do we need to consult with residents in any form?

I can't remember that happening in Northallerton.

Finally, we mentioned that if anything was happening at the far end of the village it might be possible to move a camera to say a location on Cockpit Hill - I assume that Brompton Council pays for that - have you any idea of the costs involved in moving a camera?

The response is outlined below -

"We would need to letter drop the local community as the new position of the camera would overlook properties – which would require privacy impact assessments and panels put in place (we can sort). The cameras can fully rotate and can be set on a pre determined touring pattern. The camera in Northallerton didn't require resident drops as it was overlooking a park and not residential areas. We would have to look at signage as well, but we have stickers that we can use.

The issue we have in the locations is the installation as there are no suitable lamp posts that can house the cameras so you will have to look at installing the poles with electricity supply considering any planning requirements as well. In terms of moving the cameras, if there is a suitable lamp post with electricity then the cost is approx. £100. If there is no where to relocate the camera then again you would need to look at installing an appropriate pole with electricity.

It might be better if we can organise for Vizsec to come out and undertake a site visit and give a bespoke quote?

The Clerk added that a site visit with Vizsec was currently awaited so that actual costs can be obtained. But all is now looking quite hopeful".

9. ACCOUNTS FOR PAYMENT.

Receipts –

Nil

Payments –

Mrs L Huang (Street Sweeping)	80.00
P Atkin (Salary)	322.64
P Atkin (Expense)	60.80
HMRC (PAYE – overpaid to date)	14.80
Sam Turners (Paint, brushes, plants, nuts bolts etc)	121.79
CE + CM Walker (June grasscutting)	1,632.00
Mr P Toman (95 hours labour)	1,170.00
Mr E Darby (90 hours labour)	1,080.00
Mr P Toman (Fuel for welder and van + reimbursement for steel)	139.93
Mr E Darby (reimbursement for steel)	67.20
NYCC (Agenda printing)	14.00
NYCC Yorwaste (Advance Bin Emptying charges)	21.10
Hambleton District Council (Three Dog Bins)	960.00

Bank Balances –

Current Account - £200.00

Business Savings Account - £27,404.98

High Interest Account - £13.18

AGREED – That the accounts listed above be approved for payment.

10. SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL RETURN FOR YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2019.

The Clerk reported the provisional figures for the Annual Return as follows. He also reported that the period of public advertisement of the Notice of Audit would end on the 31st July after which time the return could be submitted to the external auditors.

	<u>2017/18</u>	<u>2018/19</u>
	£	£
B/F	38,201	24,025
Precept	42,000	45,000
Other Receipts	3,149	5,829
Staff Costs	3,196	3,636

Loans	0	0
Other Payments	56,129	50,443
Balances C/F	24,025	20,775
Total Cash	24,025	20,775
Assets	62,000	69,500
Borrowings	0	0

STATEMENT OF VARIANCES

DIFFERENCES OF MORE THAN 10% ON FINANCIAL RETURN YEAR END 31 MARCH 2018

1. OTHER RECEIPTS

31.03.2018 - £3,149.00

31.03.2019 - £5,829.00

= DIFFERENCE OF £2,680.00

Reason - There were 16 burial transactions in 2018/19 but only 12 in 2017/18; including more families paying for additional grave spaces in advance in 2018/19 than in 2017/18. This closely matches the number of burial transactions during the financial year 2016/17 (17).

2. OTHER PAYMENTS

31.03.2018 - £56,129.00

31.03.2019 - £50,443.00

= DIFFERENCE OF £5,686.00

Reason – The end of year figure for 31.03.19 implies a fall back in the Councils expenditure during that year. This is not the case since in the previous 2017/18 financial year a payment of £20,868 was paid to a Stonemason to carrying out reinstatement works to the wall in the closed Churchyard. The works were actually carried out in the financial year prior to that year but the Invoice was not settled until the start of the 2017/18 financial year. Without the expenditure on the Church Wall the total expenditure during 2017/18 would only have been £35,261 which would indeed have been slippage in the Council's programmed expenditure in that year. The Council currently has no slippage in its budget schedules.

3. ASSETS.

Assets have increased by £15,000 as an allowance has been made for replacing each of the 150 mature trees in the village with semi-mature trees at a sum of £100 per tree should any be damaged or die.

AGREED – That the figures outlined above be approved and the Chairman be authorised to sign the Annual Financial Return for the financial year ended 31 March 2019 for submission to the external auditors.

11. BROMPTON RECREATION GROUP - Update.

Councillor O'Sullivan reported that the school had arranged a poster competition about dog fouling. It was also planned to fence from the beck to Weavers Pavilion and wheelchair access was being looked into. Councillor O'Sullivan undertook to obtain a price for metal gate in to the first disabled parking bay in the car park and it was AGREED – That the Council pay for the gate.

It was also reported that there had not been any increase in dog fouling.

The Making A Difference grant money works were being undertaken as was connection to Wi Fi.

The Chairman of the BRG thanked the Council for agreeing to pay for the gate adding that it would be closed on one day each year which would probably be Christmas day.

12. PROPOSED WILDFLOWER AND BULB PLANTING SCHEMES.

Councillor Haynes referred to the Pictorial Meadows web site that provided advice on the establishment of wildflower meadows. The company also offered training and would make a site visit from which they would offer advice.

The Clerk reported that he had visited Ingleby Arncliffe to look at its wildflower meadow but had discovered that it had only just been planted two weeks previously, however how the paths had been laid out was of interest and he had circulated photographs to Councillors.

It was noted that that Flood Group had expressed reservations about having a wild flower meadow along the banks of the beck in case debris got into the water. As regards complaints about spraying the beck the EA undertook this to stop build- up of weeds . The spray was one that dis not harm the fish or wildlife. It obviously stopped weeds flowering and providing a food source for pollinators. However, it was a balancing act and it was not thought that they sprayed further up or down stream. Those areas would provide the pollinators with nectar as well as plants for food and egg laying.

It was suggested that alternatively a wild flower meadow could be planted at the far end of The Green at Water End after the Water Splash. AGREED – That - (i) a site visit be arranged by Pictorial Meadows to which all Councillors be invited to attend, and (ii) the Chairman order 1,500 daffodil bulbs.

13. PESTICIDE/HEBICIDE TRAINING.

AGREED – That this training for the two workmen be not proceeded with.

14. NORTHALLERTON ROAD RECREATION GROUND.

AGREED – That the September Council agenda include ideas from the school for new play equipment for Water End and that the Clerk obtain prices for outdoor fitness equipment for the Recreation Ground.

15. HIGHWAY MATTERS.

Highways Signs – North Northallerton Housing Developments.

Received from County Councillor David Hugill –

“It was explained to me that the blank signs are classed as destination signs as opposed to direction signs and awaiting the railway bridge and highway to be constructed before they are unveiled.

The sign on the A684 will not include Brompton as it is not a destination because at that point we are actually in Brompton. There is work planned on Stone cross roundabout after which there will be a

direction sign erected showing Brompton as the sign will be situated in Northallerton so at that point Brompton will still be a destination.

On the issue of adopting the roads and drains there will be a handover from the Developer to NYCC once all the works are completed”

Double Yellow Lines on Cockpit Hill.

AGREED – That the Clerk ask the Highway Authority about the possibility of having double yellow lines along Cockpit Hill.

16. GREENS INSPECTION.

The Clerk reported that about 12 months ago it was agreed to purchase another 200 boulders to continue with placing them around the Green at Water End. Unfortunately extensive enquiries had all resulted in a dead end as regards obtaining supplies of boulders of the size required.

The budget figure was £5,000 to £7,000 for the 200 boulders, however the lowest price obtainable was in the region of £10,000 for only 100 boulders. One issue was transportation due to the great weight and the distance that they would have to be brought. The Council was able to obtain a local supply in the past and transport costs were reasonable but this supplier could no longer help and nor were there any other local suppliers. The only companies who had such supplies were now in Cornwall and South Wales.

An alternative of using stout timber logs was put to a meeting a few months ago but was declined by Councillors. The Clerk added that it may be in due course that a supplier of the large boulders would be found but at present all attempts had not come to fruition. AGREED – That the Clerk endeavor to order smaller quantities of boulders to see if any companies were able to deliver these at a reasonable price.

17. CORRESPONDENCE.

None received.

18. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.

- (i) Date of Next Meeting.

AGREED – That the next meeting of the Council be held at 7.00pm in The Methodist Church on Tuesday 13th August 2019.

- (ii) Kissing Gate under the railway bridge.

It was reported that the gate had been interfered with again. The Clerk undertook to investigate.

- (iii) Travel Plan.

A Councillor said that County Councillor Hugill had undertaken to find out details of the Travel Plan. AGREED – That the Clerk ask Councillor Hugill what progress he had made in this matter.

- (iv) Broadacres Housing Planning Application.

Councillor Mrs Shiel Dods said that she would see what the current position was as regards the planning application for 72 affordable houses.

The meeting closed at 9.45pm

